[PUT AGENCY LETTERHEAD HERE]

**FISCAL YEAR 2024**

**PROCUREMENT OF DOMESTIC PRODUCTS ACT COMPLIANCE REPORT**

Agency Representative Completing this Plan:

Contact Information for Agency Representative:

State Purchasing Officer:

Date Plan Submitted to SPO:

The Procurement of Domestic Products Act (30 ILCS 517) makes it the policy of the State of Illinois to use agency procurements to maximize the use of goods, products, and materials produced in Illinois. Section 35 of the Act requires that each agency provide an annual compliance report to the chief procurement officer.

Section 5 of the Act defines "Manufactured in the United States" to mean, in the case of assembled articles, materials, or supplies, that design, final assembly, processing, packaging, testing, or other process that adds value, quality, or reliability occurs in the United States (US).

**Reporting Period**

Invitations for Bid (IFB) and Requests for Proposal (RFP) awarded between:

July 1, 2023, and May 31, 2024

1. **Compliance with the Act, including incidents of noncompliance:**
2. During the reporting period, did the agency have any IFBs or RFPs where the awarded vendor offered a US manufactured product?

[ ]  Yes [ ]  No

If Yes, then detail in 3.

1. During the reporting period, did the agency have any IFBs or RFPs where a non-awarded vendor offered a US manufactured product, and the awarded vendor offered a non-US manufactured product that qualified for Section 10(a) exception?

[ ]  Yes [ ]  No

If Yes, then further explanation is required in 2.1.

1. During the reporting period, did the agency have any instances where a non-awarded vendor offered a US manufactured product, and the awarded vendor offered a non-US manufactured product that **did not** qualify for Section 10(a) exception?

[ ]  Yes [ ]  No

1. If Yes, then explain why the vendor offering a non-US manufactured product was awarded.

1. **Details of procured products under an exception**

If Yes was selected in 1.1, then answer:

* 1. Total # of procurements awarding non-US manufactured products under an exception:

* 1. Total # of non-US manufactured products awarded using an exception (may be different than the # of procurements if a procurement had more than one awarded vendor):

* 1. Total # of exceptions utilized:

      Exception 10(a)(1): The procured products are not manufactured in the United States in reasonably available quantities.

      Exception 10(a)(2): The price of the procured products manufactured in the United States exceeds the price of available and comparable procured products manufactured outside of the United States by 12% or more.

      Exception 10(a)(3): The quality of the procured products manufactured in the United States is substantially less than the quality of the comparably priced, available, and comparable procured products manufactured outside of the United States.

      Exception 10(a)(4): The purchase of the procured products manufactured outside of the United States better serves the public interest by helping to protect or save life, property, or the environment.

      Exception 10(a)(5): The purchase of the procured products is made in conjunction with contracts or offerings of telecommunications, fire suppression, security systems, communications services, Internet services, or information services.

      Exception 10(a)(6): The purchase is of pharmaceutical products, drugs, biologics, vaccines, medical devices used to provide medical and health care or treat disease or used in medical or research diagnostic tests, and medical nutritionals regulated by the Food and Drug Administration under the federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act.

1. **Recommendations for how the agency or State may further effectuate the policy of procuring products made in America.**

What recommendations do you have how the agency or State may further effectuate the policy of procuring products made in the United States? Analyze and consider the goods, products, and materials that the agency purchased during the reporting period where no US manufactured products were proposed. If vendors offering US manufactured products were awarded, then how many vendors won and in how many procurements? Did the US manufactured products win because of application of the preference?